

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

******PUBLIC NOTICE******

BOARD MEETING

January 14, 2025 @ 5:30 PM

AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATION:

Nevada State Board of Osteopathic Medicine ~ Conference Room 2275 Corporate Circle, Suite 210 Henderson, NV 89074

To join by Video/Teleconference on your computer, mobile app or room device, control+click: https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetupjoin/19% 3ameeting_NWFjYjBjN2MtMWQyZi00NjgzLWI5ODItYWM5YmZhMDhkYzIw%40thread.v 2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22e4a340e6-b89e-4e68-8eaa-1544d2703980%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%2205628d65-31b9-47d5-9114-70d3f53bf58d%22%7d

To join by Meeting ID through Microsoft Teams: Meeting ID:232 443 944 078

Passcode: RN28xH36

Or to join by telephone (audio only):

Audio Conference Number: +1 775-321-6111 Phone Conference ID: 387 983 141#

Please Note: The Board may take action on any agenda item delineated for action (discussion /for possible action); likewise, no action may take place on non-agenda items, including public proposals.

A (+) plus sign preceding an agenda item signifies that if the matter is an action item, in certain situations, the option exists to declare the meeting on that agenda item to be a Closed (Executive) Session per NRS 241.030.

All information or documents supplementing agenda items that are not otherwise confidential will be available in limited quantity at the Board meeting office.

*** MINUTES***

I. CALL TO ORDER (Discussion/ For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President Roll call to determine presence of a quorum. All Board members were present.

Carla Perlotto, PhD Andrea Weed, D.O. Swadeep Nigam, MSc, MBA Paul Janda, D.O. Nasim McDermott, D.O. Dean Polce, D.O. Billie Casse, D.O. Board Staff present: Frank DiMaggio, Executive Director Carrie Klein, Licensing Specialist Assistant Michelle Oberson, Administrative Assistant Richard Dreitzer, Board Counsel Public: Amanda Lipinski, CE Broker Deb Carter, CE Broker

Cassidy Wilson, McDonald Carano Dave Dazlich, McDonald Carano Todd Weiss, Deputy Attorney General

II. PUBLIC COMMENT

NOTE: Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual, the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126. No public comments were received.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President a. Board Minutes from December 10, 2024.

Dr. McDermott made a motion to approve the Board meeting minutes from December 10, 2024: seconded by Mr. Nigam. There being no further discus

December 10, 2024; seconded by Mr. Nigam. There being no further discussion, the Board meeting minutes from December 10, 2024, were unanimously approved by the Board.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA TO GRANT LICENSURE FOR OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIANS, PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS, ANDANESTHESIOLOGISTASSISTANTS, AND TO GRANT RESTORATION OF LICENSES (Discussion/ For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Under this item the Board may consider the recommendations of the Executive Director and/or President/Vice President to grant licensure to the below listed applicants. The Board may remove any applicant's name from the consent motion but may not discuss the contents of the application for licensure without the applicant present following proper notice pursuant to the open meeting law.

Osteopathic Physician Name Sarah Boulos, D.O.

Sarah Boulos, D.O. Galen Church, D.O. Mark Flood, D.O. Chelsey Haley, D.O. Rose Heng, D.O. Kristopher Lange, D.O. Zefu Liu, D.O. Michael O'Bryant, D.O. Mary Parman, D.O. Troy Rice, D.O.

Specialty

Emergency Medicine Family Medicine Orthopedic Surgery Family Medicine Family Medicine Emergency Medicine Orthopedic Sports Medicine Radiology OB/GYN Family Medicine Aldo Ruffolo, D.O. Jacob Schoof, D.O. Linda Youkhanna, D.O. Lisa Zaleski-Larsen, D.O.

Physician Assistant Name

Tuscany Auerbach, PA-C Maribel Campos, PA-C Christopher Cummings, PA-C Jonathan Fonbuena, PA-C Hannah Jarvis, PA-C Clara Sewell, PA-C Marisa Singleton, PA-C Erika Stack, PA-C Michael Swart, PA-C Veronica Van Horn, PA-C

Anesthesiologist Assistant Name Rachel Matsumura, A.A.

Restoration of License

Alex Lin, D.O. Jill Stocker, D.O. Caitlin White, D.O. Radiology, Diagnostic Pediatrics Internal Medicine Dermatology

Supervising Physician

Active – Not Working Joel Jones, D.O. Active – Not Working Jared Eames, D.O. Active – Not Working Brent Michaels, D.O. Jacqueline Leventhal, D.O. Kevin Brown, D.O. James Carpenter, D.O. Kevin Brown, D.O.

Supervising Physician Dean Polce, D.O.

Specialty

Emergency Medicine Family Medicine Family Medicine

Mr. Nigam made a motion to approve the licenses for the applicants as written above; seconded by Dr. McDermott. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved licensure for the applicants as written above.

V. CONSIDERATION/REVIEW/POSSIBLE ACTION ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICAL LICENSE FOR MARGARET IRISH, D.O., (Discussion/For Possible Action) Board may go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.030 to move to a closed session because the discussion will have to do with this applicant's character, alleged misconduct, professional competence, or similar items) Carla Perlotto, President

The applicant withdrew her application for reinstatement of her osteopathic medical license therefore this item is withdrawn.

VI. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATIONREGARDING POSSIBLE NEVADA LEGISLATIVE BILLS PERTAINING TO HEALTHCARE (Discussion) Carla Perlotto, President

- a. Senator Fabian Donate
- b. Assemblymember Reuben D'Silva

Dr. Perlotto stated that both Senator Donate and Assemblyman D'Silva were not available for today's Board meeting in part because they planned to attend the Governor's State of the State address which will be occurring tomorrow, January 15, 2025. However, the invitation was extended for both to possibly attend for February or March 2025 Board meetings.

VII. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION REGARDING LENGTH OF TIME TO ISSUE LICENSES TO APPLICANTS (Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President Executive Director, Frank DiMaggio, gave a summary regarding the length of time it takes to issue licenses to applicants. Because of statutory requirements, an application is not considered complete until we have all the documents from the applicant such as the initial licensing fee, affidavit for release of information, three affidavits of moral and professional character, state license verifications, FCVS or primary source documents and then the fingerprint report. By far, the fingerprint report is the biggest time-delayer. Those reports take anywhere from 4 to 6 weeks to 2 to 3 months before we receive them, both from State and Federal. With that in mind, when Board staff receive all those documents, Board staff then has a completed application. The time it takes Board staff to then get those applicants on an agenda and approve them is literally a month at the longest. If tan appplicant missed the January Board meeting by a day, because of the publication requirements, that applicant would be put on the next monthly Board meeting agenda.

Dr. Polce asked, regarding the steps, what part of those (steps) can the Board help more with. Executive Director DiMaggio stated that it is up to the applicant to turn in the required documents, and there is not really a built-in delay as there is with the fingerprint report. In the past we have talked to the DPS fingerprint division and they are not always to blame for the delay. It often depends on how long that takes the FBI to complete its part, over which DPS has no control. Boars staff receives the fingerprint report by the US Mail, ("snail mail") which is a very slow process. But now they (DPS) are trying to develop a system where we can go online and read the fingerprint reports so that the mail process will no longer be a delay factor. Once implemented, Board staff could immediately go in and look at the reports every day. However, that is saving (at the most) anywhere from a week to a week and a half of time so that is not the major delay. The delay is in the time it takes both DPS and or FBI to get the criminal background information to the Board.

Dr. Polce stated that it is a huge problem to get doctors into the state, and he wondered how other states handle the issue of licensure. He stated that he would research it, find out more and get back to the Board as to what steps can be done to minimize the timing.

VIII. REVIEW/DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION OF POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SELECTION AND/OR APPROVAL OF BOARD MEMBERS TO ATTEND THE FSMB 2025 ANNUAL MEETING IN SEATTLE, WA, FROM APRIL 24-26, 2025(Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Dr. Perlotto discussed the consideration of possible action regarding selection and or approval of several Board members to attend the FSMB 2025 Annual Meeting in Seattle. Both Dr. Perlotto and Executive Director DiMaggio have voiced interest in attending as did Mr. Nigam. Dr. Polce inquired as to where he can locate future dates for those annual meetings so he can make plans on attending. Executive Director DiMaggio recommended to Dr. Polce to check the FSMB website and he usually receives notification from FSMB in December regarding the details of the next FSMB annual meeting. He also noted that FSMB offers three scholarships each up to \$2,500.00 of cost and then anything beyond \$2,500.00, then the Board would be responsible to pay. Dr. Perlotto stated that if any other Board Member wanted to attend, they should let Executive Director DiMaggio know as soon as possible.

IX. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION/ACTION REGARDING POSSIBLE SUBMISSION OF RESOLUTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY FSMB's HOUSE OF DELEGATES (Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Dr. Perlotto asked whether there was any discussion regarding possible submission of resolutions for consideration by FSMB's House of Delegates. This is the Board's opportunity to submit anything that our Board would like The FSMB House of Delegates to vote on or to consider. Executive Director stated that there is an email from FSMB that is in the Board members' folder giving a deadline of February 21, 2025, for resolutions to be submitted. The Houe of Delegates will meet in April in conjunction with their annual meeting in Seattle. Dr. Perlotto recommended putting this item on the agenda for the next Board meeting. No further action was then taken on this matter.

X. CONSIDERATION/APPROVAL OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER REGARDING ROBERT ESLINGER, D.O., CASE

NUMBER AD2112003 (Board may move to go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.030 because the discussion will have to do with this applicant's character, alleged misconduct, professional competence, or similar items) (**Discussion/For Possible Action**) Carla Perlotto, President

Board Counsel, Richard Dreitzer, requested this matter be conducted in closed session as it pertained to legal advice regarding a matter pending before an officer hearing. Dr. Polce made a motion to go into a closed session; seconded by Dr. Janda. There being no further discussion, the Board approved going into closed session for this item. A closed session was held and, after the conclusion of the closed session, the matter returned to open session.

XI. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION/POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPROVAL OF CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH THENTIA USA, INC (Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Dr. Perlotto stated that there have been many discussions over the last few months about Thentia and their performance, and Thentia is completing the things that they've promised to complete. The question is does the Board want to renew our contract with them and continue forward with their services. Executive Director DiMaggio did some research on other licensing database vendors back in September of 2024 to the present. He contacted five different ones to get quotes. Two of the five vendors did not respond to his emails and never provided a quote. The other three did give quotes. They range from a low of \$18,415.00, plus a possible one-time implementation fee of \$25,000, to a high of a one-time fee of \$400,000.00 to \$650,000, plus a monthly fee of \$5,000 to \$7,000 per month. The other vendor was in between the low and high. That vendor quoted a one-time implementation fee of a \$150,000, and monthly fees between \$45,000 to \$50,000 per year. The only one that was lower, was the first vendor, \$18,415.00, plus the one implementation fee of \$25,000. It has some of the same members in its organization as our current licensing database vendor. Executive Director DiMaggio stated that in the renewal documents, there is a pricing addendum which provides that the first year for the Board would cost \$22,000; second year, \$23,500; third year, \$25,000 and then the Board would have two optional years (not required) of \$26,000 and then the last year (fifth year) \$27,040.00. Change requests would be charged at the rate of \$225.00 per hour, which is what it has been. That rate was charged to the Board for the AA Licensing Portal Development, \$225.00 per hour. Thentia's renewal document does state that Thentia reserves the right to change hourly rate should economic circumstances change. So the renewal document is a 3-year contract with 2 one-year options to renew.

If the Board approves it tonight the Executive Director would submit it to the state, where it would go to the Governor's finance officer. This would take from two weeks to two months before it gets back to the Executive Director as "approved." Thentia, in the meantime, would be getting a copy of it to sign off on before Mr. DiMaggio sends it to the Governor's finance

officer. Mr. DiMaggio told Thentia the time process. Thentia's contract document stated the effective date as February 10, 2025; however, Mr.DiMaggio explained that the Board must go through the government contract approval process.

Mr. Nigam made a motion to approve the renewal contract with Thentia; seconded by Dr. McDermott. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved the Thentia renewal contract.

XII. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION/POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CONTRACT WITH CE BROKER (Discussion/For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Executive Director DiMaggio summarized that the Board has already approved the contract with CE Broker and has paid Thentia \$1,350.00 for Thentia to integrate with CE Broker. However, during an implementation meeting with CE Broker, it was brought to Mr. DiMaggio's attention that by providing Thentia and CE Broker as options for licensees to record their CMEs and for the system to do a CME audit, such would create a lot of confusion for not only licensees but also for Board Staff.

Executive Director agreed and stated he was not aware of this and told Amanda Lipinski of CE Broker he would inform the Board that he was not aware of this possible issue that recording CMEs in Thentia and/or CE Broker versus an audit was any kind of 'stumbling block.' On page ten of the CE Broker contract, regarding their "scope of work," it specifically states the client will use their services as its exclusive official system of record to monitor compliance and conduct all CME audits, and Ms. Lipinski brought that to the Executive Director's attention. Ms. Lipinski stated should the Board want to cancel the contract with CE Broker, then that could be arranged. However, that is not the first option. The best and most optimal choice would be to continue with CE Broker as the exclusive CME provider for licensees to record and list their CMEs and entering CMEs in it and also for doing the audit. The audit function consists of CE Broker selecting a random number of licensees to be audited, dictated by the Board. For example, ten percent of the licensees get selected for a random audit. CE Broker would generate that 10 percent number and then they would send out emails to those selected 10 percent of licensees saying that they have been selected for a CME audit. CE Broker would have check to determine whether the licensees have completed all the requirements for the CMEs for the one-year period. If that also took place in Thentia, the staff would have to determine whether the doctor entered CMEs in Thentia or in CE Broker, or both.

Reasons to use only CE Broker for these purposes is it is one system. It is not choosing between Thentia, CE Broker, or possibly both, which can cause confusion for licensees and increase inquires to the Board. Another reason is that for any licensee who added their CMEs in Thentia, it is duplication. If audited, the licensee would have to potentially resubmit some of those same CMEs, and that would be an administrative burden. It would also be double the work for the Board's licensing staff. For those reasons, it benefits the Board and licensees to have all licensees just go through CE Broker. Ms. Lipinski went on to say that should the Board ever change the licensing system in the future CE Broker can work with future system. It is agnostic and flexible in that way. The positive is that you would not have a change for Board staff or for the licensee experience. Executive Director DiMaggio added that he received an email from Thentia's customer success manager which stated "I wanted to get this to you ASAP. You cannot have both CE Broker and Thentia for CME input. With the CE broker integration, it will only be CE Broker that the hours are put into." Mr. DiMaggio is not sure as to whether he can get the \$1,350.00 back from Thentia if the Board decides to

cancel the contract with CE Broker. Thentia has already been paid to do the integration but have not completed it. The decision for the Board to make is, regarding the CMEs, should the Board stay with Thentia or go with CE Broker. Ms. Lipinski reiterated, that should you ever change your licensing system down the line, CE Broker can work with future systems.

Deb Carter with CE Broker also explained the licensees would upload their CMEs in CE Broker. When licensees would go to renew, the Thentia system basically pings CE Broker's system and says (in real time), "has this person completed their CMEs?" CE Broker will send back a message saying they are either complete or not. If they are complete, they continue to finish the renewal. If they are not complete, they get a message saying, "you have CMEs to complete. Please log into your CE Broker account" or "Upload any missing CMEs" Once they are complete, then the licensee can finish up with their renewal. CE Broker can tailor the message to make it clear what they need to do, and it is in real time. If somebody is not complete, they upload the missing CMEs. Executive Director DiMaggio stated that he understood there may be a benefit if you're licensed in multiple states. Instead of having to go to each licensing database for each state, the licensee would go to CE Broker, and CE Broker sends it to all the states in which the licensee is licensed. Ms. Lipinski confirmed this and mentioned that CE Broker will be connecting with IMLC as well.

Dr. Perlotto mentioned that one downside of this process is that licensees will be required to create another account with another entity with another agreement with another password, etc. Licensees will have to make a new partnership with CE Broker. However, Dr. Perlotto mentioned one positive side of this process is that it is a central repository for all your CMEs, that all licensees will be able to use and can access it anywhere. Ms. Lipinski also confirmed that CE Broker is trying to mitigate everything. If you renew through Thentia and there is a 'split option' and the licensee is audited, as mentioned, the licensee will have to re-upload everything into CE Broker again if it was not already directly reported by the provider. This creates duplicate work.

Dr. Perlotto stated that there should be some kind of notice in Thentia so that when licensees are renewing in the Thentia site it will direct them to CE Broker to input their CMEs. It is important that such notification makes licensees aware that CE Broker does have a free option. This is something that the Board previously discussed. Ms. Lipinski confirmed that they have sample materials that other Boards have utilized to ensure that there is no confusion. Mr. DiMaggio stated that as soon as CE Broker gets everything put together, with Deb Carter and Amanda Lipinski's help, they would be starting a campaign, probably emailing all of our licensees saying that the Board now has CE Broker as a partner, etc. and it will also be on the Thentia website directing people to CE Broker. Deb Carter also confirmed that CE Broker will work hard to make sure that licensees are aware of this new partnership, provide information to the licensees, explain the new process and make it as easy as possible for licensees to transition to CE Broker. Ms. Lipinski stated that they presented a demo video where Marsha, their solutions consultant, demonstrated at a prior Board meeting how you log in as a licensee and what you can expect. CE Broker can display the video again or even send a recording of it to the Board. Dr. Perlotto stated CE Broker would be the central repository for all CMEs. There are some CME providers that will upload things directly into CE Broker so that the licensee does not have to enter that information. Otherwise, the licensee will need to upload the information throughout the year into CE Broker. Ms. Lipinski shared access to her screen with the Board to point out different details. Executive Director DiMaggio asked how many Boards CE Broker currently has, for which they provide CME services. Ms. Lipinski stated (as of December 2024) that they have over 250 boards.

Dr. McDermott made a motion to accept CE Broker as the sole method for licensees to input CMEs; seconded by Dr. Polce. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved CE Broker to be the sole method for licensees to use to input their CME.

XIII. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION/POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PAYMENT OF FEES ASSOCIATED WITH OBTAINING A PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSE FOR THE BOARD INVESTIGATOR (Discussion/For

Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Dr. Perlotto stated that our Board investigator does not have to have a Private Investigator License since he is a full-time employee of the Board. However, if you are a 1099 contract employee, then you would be required to have a private investigator license. Mr. Clayton Bailey, the Board's investigator, told Mr. DiMaggio that he is agreeable to take the test to become a licensed Private Investigator. The initial fee is \$870.00; the Board would have to purchase a \$200,000 bond; and every year there would be a \$500 license renewal fee. Mr. Bailey has expressed interest in obtaining such licensure. He has all the necessary requirements and would not be accepting any such private investigation work outside the employment of the Board.

Dr. Polce made a motion to approve the payment of fees for Mr. Bailey to obtain his private investigator license; seconded by Mr. Nigam. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved to pay all private investigator licensing fees relating to the Board investigator.

XIV. DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION/POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPROVAL OF DISCIPLINARY MATRIX PERTAINING TO LICENSEES AND LICENSE

APPLICANTS (*Discussion/For Possible Action*) *Carla Perlotto, President* Dr. Perlotto, asked, given the training given earlier that evening, whethre the Board is ready to approve the proposed disciplinary matrix guidelines.

Dr. McDermott made a motion to approve and accept the Disciplinary Matrix Guidelines; seconded by Dr. Janda. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved the Disciplinary Matrix Guidelines pertaining to licensees and license applicants.

XV. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT by Frank DiMaggio

- *a. Financial Statements*-Executive Director DiMaggio stated that the Financial Statements are in the Board materials under "Financials" for the month of December. If there are any questions, he will be happy to answer them.
- b. Comments- No comments were made.
- c. Legislative Update- Executive Director DiMaggio received a letter from the AOA and NOMA (copies of which are the Board member's Teams folder, under Miscellaneous). The letter is dated January 14, 2025, and it was signed by Dr. Hubka of AOA and Dr. Lok of NOMA saying that they are in favor of maintaining a separate osteopathic medical Board. Mr. DiMaggio also had a brief conversation with the General Counsel of the NBOME and the General Counsel believes they can send us a letter from their CEO, Dr. Gimble, stating that they are also in favor of maintaining a separate osteopathic Board. Regarding SB 78, it is still in its "skeletal form" and more details will be forthcoming later. The Board was asked by Nikki Hague of Business and Industry to provide any suggested amendments that LCB might want to put into SB 78 as long as those amendments did not deal with merger or representation. Mr. DiMaggio sent emails to Mr. Dreitzer and copied Dr. Perlotto regarding some thoughts. It would be up to the Board to decide if they are in favor Mevada State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

SB78 or opposed or remain neutral. That vote has not happened. This will eventually be presented to the Board's legislative committee.

d. Change Board Meeting Date of November 11, 2025 (Veterans Day) Finally, Mr. DiMaggio learned that the Board meeting scheduled for November 11, 2025, falls on Veterans Day, and the Board may want to consider changing to another date. Since November 11, 2025 is a holiday (Veterans Day), the Board will instead meet on Wednesday, November 12, 2025.

XVI. LEGALREPORT (Discussion/For Possible Action) by Richard Dreitzer (Fennemore Craig, LLC) Board Counsel

Mr. Dreitzer reported that he spent numerous hours on drafting the Disciplinary Matrix information and on the Robert Eslinger case. Regarding the disciplinary pipeline, it has been relatively quiet. Mr. Dreitzer has been tracking the bills in legislation. Dr. Perlotto inquired as to how many cases are currently open. Mr. Dreitzer said there were approximately three and he is closely watching those. Mr. Dreitzer will keep the Board updated.

XVII. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE (Discussion/For Possible Action) by Cassidy Wilson, Board Government Affairs/Lobbyist

Ms. Wilson stated that tomorrow night, January 15, 2025, is the State of the State address. This is where Governor Lombardo will be announcing his priorities for this next legislative session. The session begins on February 3, 2025. As Mr. DiMaggio mentioned, SB78 is one of the Business and Industry bills, which bill deals with changes to Boards and Commissions bill that were touched on at the last two Board meetings. Ms. Wilson stated that she has a meeting with Amanda with Business and Industry next week to discuss their other legislative priorities. She will keep Mr. DiMaggio up to date on that. Ms. Wilson stated that LCB is still drafting several bills and she will continue to keep the Board up to date throughout the legislative session. Ms. Wilson stated the Board's proposed changes to licensing has been assigned as BDR 806, and once the language is available, Ms. Wilson will send it to the Board so they can review it. The Anesthesiologist's Assistant license renewal bill is being worked on by Assemblywoman Elaine Marzola. Ms. Wilson welcomed any questions. Mr. Nigam inquired about the BDR being proposed by Senator Denote on International Medical Graduates. Ms. Wilson stated that she will investigate it and contact Mr. DiMaggio.

XVIII. ITEMS FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION/ACTION/UPCOMING AGENDA

Dr. Perlotto requested agenda item #IX be returned to next month's Board agenda so that discussion and consideration/action regarding FSMB resolutions could take place. Executive Director DiMaggio recommended that if any Board member has any possible suggestions/resolutions regarding FSMB resolutions to please forward them to him before next month's Board meeting. Dr. Polce suggested placing the Disciplinary Matrix on next month's agenda to receive any feedback.

XIX. PRESIDENT'S REPORT on Board Business, Carla Perlotto, President

a. Next Board Meeting date: February 11, 2025- Dr. Perlotto reminded Board members that the next Board meeting is February 11, 2025

XX. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comments were made.

XXI. ADJOURNMENT (For Possible Action) Carla Perlotto, President

Dr. McDermott made a motion to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Dr. Polce. There being no further discussion, the Board unanimously approved adjourning the meeting.

Minutes approved by the Board at the February 11, 2025 Board Meeting.